

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/681,763 | 06/01/2001 | Sean M. McCullough | VIGN1240-1 | 7624 |
| 25094 | 7590 09/17/2003 | | · | • |
| GRAY, CARY, WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP | | | EXAMINER | |
| SUITE 400 | | | ROBINSON, GRETA LEE | |
| AUSTIN, TX 78746-6875 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2177 | 2 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003 | ス |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 1 | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/681,763 | MCCULLOUGH, SEAN M. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Greta L. Robinson | 2177 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | mely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 J | une 2001 . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the second secon | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers | election requirement. | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 June 2001</u> is/are: a)[| ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | - | • • | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the prior appli | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | _ | | | | |
| 14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | · | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting | visional application has been rec | ceived. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | . , | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 | 5) Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/681,763

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-18 are pending in the present application.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed January 30, 2003 has been considered. The examiner notes that a legible copy of document items C1 through C7 was not included in the file, therefore they were crossed out and not considered.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the personalization rule must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. The drawings are objected to because descriptive textual labels are needed for the following elements: 14, 18, 166, 202-208 for proper understanding at a glance. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 1-18, the following limitation does not appear to be defined within the specification "personalization rule". Applicant does not describe what is meant by this limitation. Note page, paragraph 002, "The server may extract the personal data ... to be used with personalization rules that are a part of the personalization logic used at the web site"; but Applicant does not appear to specifically state what the personalization rules consist of or how they are implemented. Also, note the following citations with respect to personalization rules, page 4 paragraph 0002 through page 5 paragraph 0021, page 8 paragraph 0032, and page 9 paragraph 0034 and 0036.

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/681,763

Art Unit: 2177

8. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1-18, the following limitation is vague: "personalization rules" [note claims 1-18]. As stated above in paragraph 6, the meaning of the term is not clear.

Regarding claim 1, the following limitation is not clear: "sending to the client data processing system ... and receiving a second communication from the client data processing system" [note claim 1]. It is not clear as to which element or structure is "sending" the information and "receiving" the information. This argument also applies to independent claims 6, 11 and 14 [see: claim 6 lines 1-6; claim 11 lines 1-6; and claim 14 lines 1-10].

Regarding claim 11, the following limitation is vague and unclear: "wherein, other than a network address for the user, the first communication does not include information substantially sufficient to specifically identify the user" [note claim 11 lines 3-4]. The limitation "substantially sufficient" is vague and/or unclear.

Claims 2-5, 7-10, 12-13, and 15-18 are rejected based on dependency.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Johnson US Patent 6,615,213 B1

Moshfeghi et al. US Patent 6,076,166

Application/Control Number: 09/681,763

Art Unit: 2177

Veldhuisen US Patent 6,480,850 B1

Kenyon et al. US Patent 6,604,113 B1

Capek US Patent 6,112,192

French et al. US Patent 6,208,991 B1

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greta L. Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-7565. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

GRETA HOBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Greta Robinson Primary Examiner September 13, 2003